

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.			FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 2358		
10/625,377			Kevin L. Parsons	89841			
24628	7590	07/21/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
WELSH & KATZ, LTD				VANATTA, AMY B			
120 S RIVE	RSIDE PL	AZA					
22ND FLOOR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
CHICAGO, IL 60606				3765			

DATE MAILED: 07/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					V/_				
		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/625,3	77	PARSONS, KEVII	N L.				
	Office Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit					
		Amy B. V	anatta	3765					
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the	e cover sheet with th	e correspondence ad	dress				
THE - External control	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stareply received by the Office later than three months after the maked patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no ev reply within the stat od will apply and w tute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply bout utory minimum of thirty (30) ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS fo dication to become ABANDO	e timely filed  days will be considered timely from the mailing date of this co DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23	3 July 2003.							
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ T	his action is n	on-final.						
3)[	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-31 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicat	ion Papers								
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.							
10)⊠	)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>23 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ul>									
* (	See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ist of the certi	fied copies not rece	ived.					
Attachmen	• •		_						
	ee of References Cited (PTO-892) ee of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		4) Interview Summi Paper No(s)/Mai						
3) 🔲 Infor	re of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date	08)		al Patent Application (PTC	)-152)				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Claim Objections

1. Claims 17-19 objected to because of the following informalities: These claims appear to contain a typographical error in that "inside" should read as "outside". This is clear since the disclosure sets forth that the pockets are on the outside of the sleeves, and the pockets would be inoperable as described if they were on the inside surface of the sleeves since they would be inaccessible. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 1-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites "the plurality of pockets" (line 4) without antecedent basis. It is unclear whether these pockets are the same as or distinct from the claimed receptacles. It is noted that claims 12-19 also recite "the plurality of pockets".

Claim 6 is indefinite in reciting "the pair of front panels further comprise a receptacle on a lower inside surface of *the front panel*" since it is unclear whether "the front" is referring to each of the pair of front panels, or just one of the front panels.

Application/Control Number: 10/625,377

Art Unit: 3765

Thus, it is unclear whether the claimed receptacle is on both panels or just one of the panels.

Claims 12-16 recite "the plurality of panels" without antecedent basis. This recitation renders the claims indefinite because it is unclear whether the "plurality of panels" comprises just the pair of front panels, or the two front panels and one back panel.

Claims 17-19 are confusing in reciting that the sleeve pockets are on the inside of the sleeve. It appears that the pockets would not be operable in the manner described by applicant if on the inside of the sleeves, since they would be inaccessible. As set forth in the objection above, it appears that the claims contain an error and that "inside" should read as "outside".

Claim 21 recites "the pair of side panels" without antecedent basis. This recitation renders the claims indefinite because it is unclear whether these are the same as or distinct from the claimed front panels.

Claim 22 is rendered indefinite by the recitation that the cover flap "may have an interior pocket". It is unclear whether or not the interior pocket is actually being claimed.

Claim 26 recites "the receptacle adapted to receive the firearm" without antecedent basis. This recitation renders the claims indefinite because it is unclear which receptacle is being referred to, and the firearm was not previously set forth.

Claim 30 recites "the back portion" without antecedent basis. This recitation renders the claims indefinite because the back portion was not previously clearly set forth.

Application/Control Number: 10/625,377

Art Unit: 3765

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1, 2, 6-8, 12, 13, 15, 16, 21-28, 30, and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yang (US 5,195,187).

Yang discloses a jacket comprising a plurality of receptacles (e.g. 10a, 6b) disposed within a secure area of the jacket. A closure (e.g. zippers 5b, 7b) is disposed on each of the pockets. The zippers have an orientation such that they clearly are operable with a single hand, as claimed. The jacket has a pair of front panels (1,2) and a back panel (3). Yang discloses that the jacket may include long or short sleeves (col. 2, lines 48-49), as in claim 2. Although Yang does not disclose the use of the garment for law enforcement personnel, such a recitation amounts to the intended use of the claimed structure. It has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987). The garment of Yang is clearly capable of being used by law enforcement personnel. Similarly, Yang does not disclose the use of the receptacles or pockets to receive police equipment, however such a recitation also amounts to the intended use of the claimed structure. The pockets of Yang have a structure such that they are capable of such a use.

Application/Control Number: 10/625,377

Art Unit: 3765

The pair of front panels have a receptacle (see 10a in Fig. 9) on a lower inside surface as in claim 6. This receptacle is a capable of carrying prisoner restraint devices. The receptacle further includes a pocket (11 or 10a shown in Fig. 9) capable of carrying plastic or metal handcuffs, as in claims 7 and 8. Yang shows pocket 10a (Fig. 9) on the lower inside surface which is capable of holding a firearm as in claim 12. Pocket 6a (Fig. 9) on the upper inside surface is capable of holding a flashlight as in claim 13. Yang shows pocket 6b (Fig. 8) on the upper outside surface which is capable of holding a small firearm as in claim 15, or alternatively the pocket accessible via zipper 16 shown in Fig. 8 forms a pocket on the upper outside surface capable of holding a firearm. Pocket 6a (Fig. 8) on the upper outside surface is capable of holding a flash light as in claim 16.

Regarding claim 22, Yang shows front panels (1,2) and a plurality of receptacles on the inside surface (e.g. 6a,10a shown in Fig. 9) capable of receiving prisoner restraint devices. Yang shows a cover (flap 20; see Fig. 9) which "falls into place" to the extent claimed, due to gravity, and functions to re-secure devices which are in pockets 10a, 10b, and 11 after a device has been removed by preventing the zipper 19 from disengagement (col. 4, lines 55-58). The receptacles include a pocket (6a in Fig. 9) capable of receiving handcuffs as in claims 23-24. Receptacles 10a and 10b (Fig. 9) are on an end of the panels opposite the neck portion, as in claim 25. The receptacle 10a or 10b (Fig. 9) is capable of receiving a firearm on the inside surface of one of the panels, comprises a zipper (19), and is at an end opposite the neck portion as in claims 26-28. Receptacle 11 as shown in Fig. 8 is on the back panel, and a baton can clearly

be received in this receptacle and lay along the bottom edge of the receptacle, thus being on a lower edge of the back portion as in claim 30. A receptacle 6a or 6b is provided at the upper end of one of the pair of panels and is capable of being used to receive a small firearm, as in claim 31.

6. Claims 1, 2, 15-17 and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tobias (US 5,603,646).

Tobias discloses a jacket comprising a plurality of receptacles (e.g. stash pocket with vertical zipper 104, pocket with zipper 112, and pockets 96,116,132) disposed within a secure area of the jacket; see Fig. 1. A closure (e.g. zippers 104,112,118,126) is disposed on each of the pockets. The zippers have an orientation such that they clearly are operable with a single hand, as claimed. The jacket has a pair of front panels (22,24) and a back panel (80). The jacket has a pair of sleeves (32,34), as in claim 2. Although Tobias does not disclose the use of the garment for law enforcement personnel, such a recitation amounts to the intended use of the claimed structure. It has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. *Ex parte Masham*, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987). The garment of Tobias is clearly capable of being used by law enforcement personnel. Similarly, Tobias does not disclose the use of the receptacles or pockets to receive police equipment, however such a recitation also amounts to the

intended use of the claimed structure. The pockets of Tobias have a structure such that they are capable of such a use.

Tobias shows a pocket (e.g. 34, 96, or pocket closed by zipper 104; Fig. 1) on the upper outside surface which is capable of holding a small firearm as in claim 15.

The other of pockets 34, 96, or 104 on the upper outside surface is capable of holding a flash light as in claim 16. A pocket 138 is on the upper surface of the sleeve as in claims 17 and 19 and is capable of holding a spray canister as claimed. The jacket has a hood (86; see Fig. 8) that is stored within the collar of the jacket and can clearly be released by using one hand, by means of zipper 84, as in claim 20.

Regarding claim 21, Tobias shows front panels (22,24) connected by a neck portion and a plurality of pockets with zippers (e.g. stash pocket with vertical zipper 104, pocket with zipper 112, pocket 116 having zipper 118, and pocket 132 having zipper 126) disposed on the panels. The zippers can clearly be used by only a single hand as in claim 21.

7. Claims 1, 2, 15-19 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Marcon (US 6,314,579).

Marcon discloses a jacket comprising a plurality of receptacles (col. 3, lines 46-56; col. 4, lines 38-41; and Fig. 1) disposed within a secure area of the jacket; see Fig. 1. A closure (e.g. zippers disclosed in col. 3, lines 46-56 and closures shown in figure) is disposed on each of the pockets. The zippers would clearly be operable with a single hand, as claimed. The jacket has a pair of front panels connected by a neck portion, a

Art Unit: 3765

back panel, and sleeves as shown in Fig 1 (and see col. 4, lines 47-48). Although Marcon does not disclose the use of the garment for law enforcement personnel, such a recitation amounts to the intended use of the claimed structure. It has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. *Ex parte Masham, 2* USPQ2d 1647 (1987). The garment of Marcon is clearly capable of being used by law enforcement personnel. Similarly, Marcon does not disclose the use of the receptacles or pockets to receive police equipment, however such a recitation also amounts to the intended use of the claimed structure. The pockets of Marcon have a structure such that they are capable of such a use, and Marcon does disclose that various article and equipment may be carried in the pockets (col. 1, lines 15-20 and col. 2, lines 36-50).

Marcon shows a pocket on the upper outside surface which is capable of holding a small firearm or flashlight, as in claims 15-16 (see Fig. 1). A pocket 14 is on the upper surface of the sleeve as in claims 17 and 19 and is capable of holding a spray canister as claimed (see Fig. 1). Figure 1 also shows a pocket (14) on the lower surface of the sleeve as in claim 18.

Regarding claim 21, Marcon shows front panels connected by a neck portion and a plurality of pockets with zippers (see Fig. 1 and col. 3, lines 46-56) disposed on the panels. The zippers can clearly be used by only a single hand as in claim 21.

8. Claims 1, 2, 6-8, 12, 13, 15-17, 19, 22-25, 27, 28, and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ost et al (US 5,718,000).

Ost et al disclose a jacket comprising a plurality of receptacles (e.g. 240,241; see Fig. 5) disposed within a secure area of the jacket. A closure (see col. 4, lines 64-66, disclosing the use of "conventional closure mechanisms") is disposed on each of the pockets. Only a single hand would be needed to operate such conventional closures. as claimed. The jacket has a pair of front panels connected by neck portion, a back panel, and a pair of sleeves (Fig. 5), as in claim 2. Although Ost does not disclose the use of the garment for law enforcement personnel, such a recitation amounts to the intended use of the claimed structure. It has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987). The garment of Ost is clearly capable of being used by law enforcement personnel. Similarly, Ost does not disclose the use of the receptacles or pockets to receive police equipment, however such a recitation also amounts to the intended use of the claimed structure. The pockets of Ost have a structure such that they are capable of such a use.

The pair of front panels have a receptacle (240; Fig. 5) on a lower inside surface as in claim 6. This receptacle is a capable of carrying prisoner restraint devices. The receptacle includes a pocket (pocket within 240) capable of carrying plastic or metal handcuffs, as in claims 7 and 8. Ost shows pocket (240) on the lower inside surface (Fig. 5) which is capable of holding a firearm as in claim 12. The pocket on the upper

inside surface (see Fig. 5) is capable of holding a flashlight as in claim 13. The pocket on the upper outside surface shown in Fig. 5 is capable of holding a small firearm as in claim 15. The pocket on the upper outside surface (see Fig. 5) is capable of holding a flash light as in claim 16. A pocket 160 is on the upper surface of the sleeve as in claims 17 and 19 and is capable of holding a spray canister as claimed (see Fig. 5).

Regarding claim 22, Ost shows front panels and a plurality of receptacles on the inside surface (240 shown in Fig. 5) capable of receiving prisoner restraint devices. Ost shows a cover (see flap over top of pocket as shown in Fig. 5) which "falls into place" to the extent claimed, due to gravity, and functions to re-secure devices which are in the pockets after a device has been removed, as claimed. The receptacles include a pocket (see 240 in Fig. 5) capable of receiving handcuffs as in claims 23-24.

Receptacles 240 are on an end of the panels opposite the neck portion, as in claim 25. The receptacle 240 (Fig. 5) is capable of receiving a firearm on the inside surface of one of the panels and is at an end opposite the neck portion as in claims 27-28. A receptacle 240 is provided at the upper end of one of the pair of panels and is capable of being used to receive a small firearm, as in claim 31.

9. Claims 1, 2, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shanhouse (US 2,150,251).

Shanhouse discloses a jacket comprising a plurality of receptacles (see pockets shown on each side of the front panels in Figs. 1-3 and pocket 15) disposed within a secure area of the jacket. A closure (see flap closing front pockets and zipper 33

Art Unit: 3765

closing pocket 15; pg. 2, col. 1, lines 4-11) is disposed on each of the pockets. Only a single hand would be needed to operate such closures, as claimed. The jacket has a pair of front panels connected by neck portion, a back panel, and a pair of sleeves (pg. 1, col. 1, lines 46-50 and Figs. 1-3), as in claim 2. Although Shanhouse does not disclose the use of the garment for law enforcement personnel, such a recitation amounts to the intended use of the claimed structure. It has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. *Ex parte Masham*, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987). The garment of Shanhouse is clearly capable of being used by law enforcement personnel. Similarly, Shanhouse does not disclose the use of the receptacles or pockets to receive police equipment, however such a recitation also amounts to the intended use of the claimed structure. The pockets of Shanhouse have a structure such that they are capable of such a use.

The receptacles include a pocket 15 on a lower inside surface of the back panel which is capable of holding a firearm as in claim 12.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3765

11. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ost et al (US 5,718,000).

Ost et al disclose a jacket as claimed, including a receptacle (240) on a lower inside surface of the front panel (see Fig. 5) which is clearly capable of holding a prisoner restraint device. The receptacle 240 has a flap secured over the receptacle (see flap illustrated in Fig. 5). Ost discloses that the fastener is any conventional closure mechanism (col. 4, lines 65-66). Hook and loop fasteners are conventional fasteners in the art, commonly used for fastening pockets. Ost does teach that "throughout this description, detachable generally indicates the preferred usage of filamentary loop and hook mechanisms to attach/detach the item of interest" (col. 6, liens 27-30). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a hook and loop strap on the flap which closes over the pocket 240 of Ost to secure the flap in a closed position, since hook and loop fasteners are conventionally used to fasten pockets and other garment articles, and Ost specifically teaches the use of hook and loop fasteners to fasten portions of the garment.

12. Claims 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ost et al (US 5,718,000) in view of Speh (US 1,773,442).

Ost et al disclose a jacket as claimed, including a receptacle (240) on a lower inside surface of the front panel (see Fig. 5) which is clearly capable of holding a prisoner restraint device. The receptacle has a flap, as set forth in the rejection above.

Art Unit: 3765

The key pocket of claim 10 having a zipper to close the pocket is not disclosed by Ost. Speh discloses a jacket including a receptacle on the inner surface of the jacket (see 18 in Fig. 1). The pocket has a flap (19) which includes a small pocket 21 to accommodate small articles (col. 2, lines 17-18). The small pocket could clearly be used to hold keys as in claim 10. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a small pocket near the flap of the pocket of Ost et al in order to permit secure carrying of small items, such as taught by Speh. Although Speh does not disclose that the small pocket has a fastener, pockets commonly are provided with fasteners across the pocket opening. Ost does teach that the pockets of the jacket are closed "using conventional closure mechanisms". One having routine skill in the art would recognize that a zipper is a conventional closure mechanism. Ost does specifically teach the use of zippers or hook and loop fasteners for fastening other portions of the jacket (see, e.g., col. 1, lines 59-61 and col. 5, lines 9-11). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a zipper closure across the opening of the small pocket of Ost modified in view of Speh in order to provide a secure closure of the pocket, and since zippers are conventionally used to fasten pockets and other garment articles, and since Ost specifically teaches the zippers as fasteners in the garment.

13. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ost et al (US 5,718,000) in view of Ingrisano et al (US 4,637,075).

Art Unit: 3765

Ost et al disclose a jacket as claimed, however the jacket does not include a loop on the upper inside surface of the panel as in claim 14. Ingrisano et al disclose a vest having pockets on the outside and including a loop (see loop 25,60, 62) which is capable of holding a writing instrument. Since the inside of the jacket shown in Fig. 5 of Ost is actually the vest turned inside out (col. 5, lines 46-50), by putting a loop such as that of Ingrisano on the outside, the loop ends up on the inside of the garment when worn as shown in Fig. 5. Ingrisano also discloses a loop 96 or 97 on the upper inside surface of the jacket to secure items. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide such a loop on the jacket of Ost et al in order to provide additional means for securing items to the jacket, as taught by Ingrisano et al.

14. Claims 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shanhouse (US 2,150,251) in view of Hardy (US 3,738,367).

Shanhouse discloses a jacket as claimed, including a side access zipper (34,35) that joins the front panel to the back panel. The zipper has a zipper control handle (34) which is on the lower end when the zipper is closed, and is pulled upwards from the lower end to open the zipper, as in claim 4 (pg. 2, col. 1, lines 15-31. Shanhouse does not show the zipper as opening from an upper end, as in claim 3. Zippers which have two zipper handles and open from both ends are conventional in the art. They are commonly used on the front openings of jackets. Hardy shows a two-way zipper connecting the front panels and back panel of a garment. The zippers (49, 50) extend

Art Unit: 3765

along the sides. Each zipper has two sliders ("handles"), see e.g. 55,56, so that the zipper can be opened by moving slider 55 downwards from the upper end or by moving slider 56 upwards from the lower end. Such a design permits easier access by the wearer and greater versatility of the garment but allowing access from two different directions. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide such a two-way zipper in place of the zipper 35 of Shanhouse, in order to permit easier access by the wearer and greater versatility of the garment by allowing access from two different directions, as shown by Hardy. Regarding claim 5, it is noted that Shanhouse shows a pocket (15) proximate the side access zipper. This pocket is clearly capable of holding a baton as claimed.

15. Claims 22-25 and 27-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shanhouse (US 2,150,251) in view of Ost et al (US 5,718,000).

Shanhouse discloses a jacket as claimed, including a pair of front jacket panels connected by a neck portion and a plurality of receptacles (see pockets shown on each side of the front panels in Figs. 1-3 and pocket 15). A closure flap closes the front pockets and would fall into place to resecure items. Shanhouse shows only one receptacle (15) on the inside surface. Shanhouse does not disclose a plurality of receptacles on an inside surface of the front panels as in claim 22. Jackets are known to have receptacles on the inside surfaces of the front panels, however, such as shown in Fig. 5 of Ost et al. Ost teaches that numerous pockets of various sizes are provided, which allows the wearer to store a multitude of items (col. 1, lines 1-2). Ost shows an

upper and a lower inside pocket, each having a cover flap as in claim 22. These pockets are clearly capable of being used to carry prisoner restraint devices, handcuffs, or small firearms. Ost shows an inside pocket on an end of the panels opposite the neck portion (see lower inside pocket 240 in Fig. 5) and an inside pocket on an upper end of the panels (see upper pocket 240 in Fig. 5) as in claims 25, 28 and 31. Although Shanhouse and Ost do not disclose the use of the garments for law enforcement personnel, such a recitation amounts to the intended use of the claimed structure. It has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987). The garments of Shanhouse and Ost are clearly capable of being used by law enforcement personnel. Similarly, Shanhouse and Ost do not disclose the use of the receptacles or pockets to receive prisoner restraint, however such a recitation also amounts to the intended use of the claimed structure. The pockets of Shanhouse and Ost have a structure such that they are capable of such a use. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the jacket of Shanhouse with upper and lower inside pockets on the front panels, such as shown by Ost et al, in order to permit carrying of a greater number of items in the jacket, for storage of a "multitude of items" as taught by Ost et al. Regarding claim 29, Shanhouse does show a back panel joined to an upper edge of the neck portion. The back panel is joined to the pair of front panels on opposing sides with a zipper 35, as claimed.

Page 17 Application/Control Number: 10/625,377

Art Unit: 3765

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to 16. applicant's disclosure.

17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Amy B. Vanatta whose telephone number is 571-272-4995. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Calvert can be reached on 571-272-4983. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Amy B. Vanatta Primary Examiner Art Unit 3765